Clinical fMRI

Jim Voyvodic, Ph.D. Brain Imaging and Analysis Center Radiology Dept. Duke University Medical Center

https://hawking.biac.duke.edu/public/Documents

Clin fMRI Class 10/23

Outline

Why is clinical fMRI done?
How is clinical fMRI done?
What sort of results does it provide?
What can go wrong?
How is scan quality assessed?
Research on improving clinical fMRI

Functional MRI (fMRI) is primarily used clinically to map speech and motor function prior to brain surgery



Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is used to map major white matter tracts



fMRI & DTI Clinical goals

Determine location and borders of eloquent (essential) cortical areas relative to lesions Determine location of major white-matter tracts connecting eloquent areas Evaluate risk of post-surgical functional deficits Decide whether surgery is advisable Plan surgical approach and extent of resection Decide whether intraoperative mapping is necessary

fMRI & DTI **Technical goals** Identify eloquent brain areas [sensitivity & specificity] Map location relative to anatomy and pathology [image registration] Evaluate laterality of language dominance [relative activation] Map edges of areas and proximity to lesion [thresholding & quantitative reproducibility] Measure brain connectivity Measure brain function (or change in function)

fMRI – Patient performs tasks using simple visual cues and alternating block designs

Bilateral hand motion task





Silent sentence-completion task



Image acquisition

During a \sim 5-minute fMRI scan the patient performs many cycles of a simple task.

20-30 echo-planar images are acquired every TR (\sim 1.5s), This yields a time series of \sim 200 brain image volumes. Image intensity varies with the task in some voxels.



Voxel time courses

Martin Andre Martin Andre Martin Martin

where he where the second second

When My Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark

When were provided the for the

the man man which we will be a second of the second of the

 Image signal pre-processing
 Filter out known nuisance signals (sometimes) Head motion (measure motion - realign images) Regression filter (heartbeat, respiration, drift)

 Filter out high-frequency noise (always) Spike filter
 Spatial smoothing Temporal smoothing

Statistical image processing

Compare the timing of the observed fluctuations in the fMRI images to the expected fluctuations of the BOLD response.

Task timing

Predicted response

Actual response

Comparison methods:

- image subtraction
- t-test differences
- frequency analysis (FFT)
- temporal correlation
- General Linear Model (analysis of variance)

Statistical significance identifies "active" voxels (statistical value above some minimum threshold)

Thresholded "map" of active voxels is overlaid on MR images



Patient compliance is a bigger issue for fMRI than other scans

Training Patients must actively participate in fMRI Tasks must be appropriate and understood Task fMRI is done on patients 5yo to >80yo Task performance Anxiety affects fMRI results Getting patients relaxed is important Head motion is most common problem Important to assess performance in real-time

Clinical fMRI exam

- 10 min pre-scan assessment and training
- 45 min MRI session
 - 10 min anatomical scans (T1 & FLAIR)
 - 15-20 min fMRI 3-4 tasks (4 min each)
 - 5 min 30-direction DTI scan
- 30-60 min post-scan image analysis
 - Registration of fMRI and DTI with T1 images
 - Definition and statistical analysis of "active" voxels
 - Overlay of fMRI and DTI on anatomical images
- Neuroradiological interpretation

Summary fMRI maps can combine multiple task areas and pathology



This fMRI map was made from 3 tasks and $\sim 14,000$ images.

Measuring activation in task-relevant areas





Use atlas of language areas -

Align atlas brain to patient brain

Measure activation in language areas (e.g. LPeak and Laterality Index)



Language & motor – RH 82 yo parietal tumor



Examples Language – LH 34 yo with insular tumor



Language & motor – RH 12 yo with epilepsy



Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)



Acquire diffusion-weighted images at multiple diffusion orientations (6-60)

Calculate diffusivity and orientation at each voxel



Color-code orientations

Can overlay color-coded FA map on anatomy



DTI – fiber tracking

Start at any 'seed' and connect voxels with similar orientations





Basser et al., Magn Reson Med, 2000

Overlay fiber tracks on anatomy





When all goes well fMRI is easy Statistical significance provides map of brain activity



Clinically, how do we assess whether all went well? If we repeat the scan, do we get the same result?

What can go wrong? Head motion Poor task performance Can't read Can't move Inattentive or falls asleep Confused Poor hemodynamic regulation Susceptibility artifacts

Susceptibility artifacts

Normal



With dental braces



Pathology can disrupt fMRI response timing AVM slows neurovascular coupling Latency map Left foot task

Hand task







5s delay

5s delay

11s delay



Time-to-peak

How to assess fMRI scan quality Comparison with intraoperative mapping Real-time analysis Reproducibility Simulation studies (where truth is known) Quantitative QA metrics Expert interpretation

fMRI validation by direct comparison with intraoperative mapping





fMRI near-real-time quality control (QC) Goal: make it easier to assess scan quality quickly

← → C 🔒 hawking.biac.duke.edu/fmri/	
fMRI QC Review Restart Help Logout Status Examples Con	nment NewWindow
User: mrtech Patient: M35_1428 Image: fMRI_Map_6_sentences.jp	QA Value: TMax 17.805 (Okay if > 10) Comment: Good
UpdateList RightHanded LeftHanded Ambidextrous Smaller Bigg	er Badlmage
Scans DTI_31_cfa.jpg Motion_6.jpg Motion_20.jpg fMRI_Map_6_sentences.jpg fMRI_Map_13_hands.jpg fMRI_Map_20_words.jpg	

New Duke website lets us see fMRI results during session

Traditionally, fMRI is not quantitatively reproducible



Liu et al., "Reproducibility of fMRI at 1.5T in a Strictly Controlled Motor Task", MRM 2004

Statistical significance of activation changes as a function of scan time





Activation mapping as percentage of local excitation (AMPLE)

AMPLE scaling makes maps consistent across scans or scanners



Language AMPLE maps improve reproducibility



Upper ~half of AMPLE peaks are most reproducible



Language data analyzed at 8 sites"Standard" thresholdAMPLE 50% threshold



Aligning EPI and anatomical images



Threshold Reproducibility DROs

D

40

30

AMPLE Map

Generate simulated fMRI data with known activity levels

100

50

0

A

100 80 T-Map



Conclusion: Once AMPLE time plots stabilize activation is reliable.

Simulations using task performance from 400 different patients



A ______ B _____

Consistency index (B correl A): 0.64





Conclusion: Consistency index > 0.5 is "good" task perfomance

Current research interests

Task design

- Standard tasks use active on-off block-designs
 - Can tailor stimuli to accommodate different patients
- Can also use passive tasks
 - Passive listening to spoken sentences
 - Passive viewing of video (block-design or stimulus coded)
 - Passive external manipulation of sensorymotor function

Resting-state fMRI

Rs-fMRI can show networks (but hard to verify)

There are many different ways to analyze rs-fmri signals
Sleeping fMRI (under general anesthesia)

- BOLD signal responses under propofol anesthesia
- For otherwise unscannable (pediatric) patients

Conclusion

- Currently, clinical fMRI can <u>locate</u> eloquent cortex, which is a critical concern in any brain surgery
- In the future, clinical fMRI will be used to <u>measure</u> changing levels of brain activity
- Doing so will require improved reproducibility, improved tasks, and improved analysis methods (improved acquisition methods will also help)

Data quality criteria are critical for interpreting results

 Once able to measure brain activity, fMRI could be used clinically to assess neurological or psychiatric disorders, disease progression, and patient response to therapies

https://hawking.biac.duke.edu/public/Documents

Clin fMRI Class 10/23